# Tribenzotriquinacene-Based Crown Ethers: Synthesis and Selective Complexation with Ammonium Salts

Yu-Fei Zhang,<sup>†</sup> Xiao-Ping Cao,<sup>\*,†</sup><sup>©</sup> Hak-Fun Chow,<sup>\*,‡</sup> and Dietmar Kuck<sup>\*,§</sup>

<sup>†</sup>State Key Laboratory of Applied Organic Chemistry & College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, P. R. China

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Chemistry, Center of Novel Functional Molecules and State Key Laboratory of Synthetic Chemistry, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong

<sup>§</sup>Department of Chemistry and Center for Molecular Materials (CM2), Bielefeld University, 33615 Bielefeld, Germany

### **Supporting Information**



**ABSTRACT:** Two tribenzotriquinacene-based crown ethers, TBTQ-dibenzo-24-crown-8 **5** and TBTQ-benzo-21-crown-7 **6**, were prepared from the key TBTQ intermediate, 2,3-dihydroxy-4b,8b,12b,12d-tetramethyltribenzotriquinacene (13), which in turn was synthesized in six steps using two variants of our cyclodehydration method on a multigram scale. The host–guest complexation properties of the TBTQ-based crown ethers **5** and **6** with a paraquat derivative, **16**, and two secondary ammonium salts, **17** and **18**, were studied by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy and mass spectrometry. It was found that host **5** binds to the paraquat derivative **16** as a 1:1 complex in CDCl<sub>3</sub>/CD<sub>3</sub>CN solution with an association constant of  $K_a = (9.2 \pm 1.8) \times 10^2 \text{ M}^{-1}$ , whereas no complexation was found for **17** and **18**. In contrast, the TBTQ-crown ether **6** assembles selectively with dibutylammonium hexafluorophosphate **18** as a 1:1 complex in CDCl<sub>3</sub>/CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub> [ $K_a = (5.0 \pm 1.4) \times 10^2 \text{ M}^{-1}$ ], for which a threaded [2]pseudorotaxane structure is assumed.

## INTRODUCTION

Bowl-shaped molecules or cavitands that can selectively bind guest molecules through specific noncovalent interactions are of great current interest in the field of supramolecular chemistry.<sup>1–5</sup> Crown ethers, in particular, have a long pedigree in supramolecular chemistry<sup>6</sup> and still offer new applications in host–guest complexation chemistry. Recent research for new host motifs have focused on structurally rigid systems, such as cyclodextrins,<sup>7</sup> pentiptycene **1**,<sup>8</sup> calixarenes **2**,<sup>9</sup> and pillararenes **3**,<sup>10</sup> to recognize various guest molecules (Figure 1). We have been working on the chemistry of tribenzotriquinacenes (TBTQs) over the last two decades because of their unique structural and reactivity properties. The molecular framework of TBTQ forms a conformationally rigid,  $C_{3v}$ -symmetrical, bowl-shaped structural motif consisting of three mutually fused indane units.<sup>11,12</sup> The concave molecular surface was found to exert a strongly negative electrostatic potential which makes it a promising core skeleton for receptors of  $C_{60}$ .<sup>13–15</sup> However, TBTQ-based crown ethers in host–guest recognition have not been explored yet. Combinations of the crown ether and the TBTQ motifs with their individual electron-rich cavities may conceivably offer new opportunities to construct interesting structures that may lead to applications for the selective binding of guest molecules. *N*,*N'*-Dialkyl-4,4'-bipyridinium salts have been widely used as guests to generate various complexes with crown ethers and secondary dialkylammonium ions were found to form 1:1 threaded structures with dibenzo-24-crown-8 (DB24C8) or benzo-21-crown-7 (B21C7).<sup>16–21</sup> Therefore, we expected that the TBTQ-based hosts **5** and **6** could also assemble with suitable ammonium guest ions.

Received: September 22, 2016 Published: December 9, 2016



Figure 1. Structures of structurally rigid crown ether hosts: pentiptycene-derived crown ether 1,<sup>8</sup> calix[4]arene-derived crown ether 2,<sup>9</sup> pillar[5]arene-derived crown ether 3,<sup>10</sup> and the new crown ethers 5 and 6 based on a tribenzotriquinacene (TBTQ, 4) core described in this work.





Recently, we demonstrated that TBTQ-based orthobenzoquinones undergo a number of useful conversions, including the facile reduction to the corresponding TBTQcatechol 13 in various ways.<sup>22</sup> In the present study, we disclose the synthesis of TBTQ-catechol 13 by starting from synthons that carry methoxyl substituents at the outer peripheral positions of one of the aromatic rings. In this way, we show that the TBTQ bowl can be merged with the crown ether units of dibenzo-24-crown-8 (DB24C8) and benzo-21-crown-7 (B21C7). On this basis, we performed an investigation on the binding behavior of the TBTQ-based host species 5 and 6 and found that they underwent selective

complexation with different kinds of ammonium cations as guests.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Our original strategy $^{23}$  to synthesize hexamethoxy-TBTO derivatives had been employed by McKeown et al. to generate hexa- and tetrahydroxy-TBTQ-based polymers.<sup>24</sup> Here we applied the same strategy to the synthesis of the corresponding 2,3-difunctionalized TBTQ congeners 11 and 13 (Scheme 1). Diketones 9a and 9b were obtained in virtually the same yields (72-73%) by reaction of 3,4dimethoxybenzhydrol (7a) with 2-methyl-1,3-indanedione (8a)<sup>25</sup> or of diphenylmethanol (7b) with 5,6-dimethoxy-2methyl-1,3-indanedione (8b)<sup>24</sup> in refluxing toluene, respectively, in the presence of para-toluenesulfonic acid. The diketones 9 were then reduced with diisobutylaluminum hydride (DIBAL-H) in dichloromethane (DCM) to yield the indane-1,3-diols 10 in high yield (80%). Whereas reduction of diketone 9a afforded diol 10a as a mixture of the diastereomers, reduction of diketone 9b furnished only one single, C<sub>s</sub>-symmetrical diastereomer, which was determined to be the all-cis-indane-1,3-diol 10b, as revealed by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy and X-ray diffraction analysis [Supporting Information (SI), Figure S13]. Orthophosphoric acid (85%) was employed as a catalyst for the 2-fold cyclodehydration of the benzhydrylindanediols 10, carried out in refluxing chlorobenzene. Tribenzotriquinacene 11 was obtained in satisfactory yield (47% from 10a and 50% from 10b) on a six-gram scale. The remarkably high yield in the 2-fold cyclodehydration step parallels the increased yields found with other veratrole-type TBTQ derivatives<sup>23,24</sup> and may be traced to the higher reactivity and/or higher stability of the electronrich intermediates of the intramolecular Friedel-Crafts reaction.<sup>23</sup> The structure of compound 11 was unambiguously confirmed by X-ray diffraction analysis of a crystal obtained from ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (SI, Figure S14). The cyclodehydration product 11 was converted to the corresponding bridgehead-tetramethyl derivative 12 by use of Nbromosuccinimide (NBS, 4.0 equiv),<sup>23,26</sup> followed by quenching of the crude bridgehead tribromo intermediate with trimethylaluminum in toluene, which furnished 12 in 46% yield over two steps. Finally, compound 12 was readily demethylated by use of boron tribromide to give the target TBTQ-catechol 13 in 82% yield. All synthesized compounds were fully characterized by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy and mass spectrometry. The main advantage of this route, as compared to the recently published one,<sup>22</sup> is the reduced number of synthetic steps, enabling a facile synthesis of TBTQ-catechol 13 on a multigram scale.

The facile synthesis of TBTQ-catechol 13 provided us with the opportunity to prepare the target TBTQ-based crown ethers. Reaction of catechol 13 with ditosylate  $14^{16}$  under high dilution conditions in the presence of cesium carbonate in dimethylformamide (DMF) at 100 °C for 3 days afforded the macrocyclic host 5 in moderate yield (35%, Scheme 2). Reaction of catechol 13 with hexaethylene glycol ditosylate  $15^{17}$  in the presence of potassium carbonate and potassium hexafluorophosphate in acetonitrile at reflux temperature under argon for 3 days afforded the TBTQ-based macrocyclic host 6 in 42% yield. The structure of both crown ether hosts 5 and 6 were again characterized by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy and mass spectrometry. In addition, the Scheme 2. Synthesis of the TBTQ-dibenzo-24-crown-8 Host 5 and the TBTQ-benzo-21-crown-7 Host 6



structure of compound **6** was further confirmed by X-ray diffraction analysis (Figure 2).

With these first TBTQ-based crown ethers in hand, complexation between host 5 and the guests 16-18 were studied in solution by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopic titrations (Scheme 3). The paraquat derivative 16 and the secondary ammonium salts 17 and 18 were synthesized according to literature procedures.<sup>16–21</sup> The host 5 (2.0 mM) and the respective guest (1.0 equiv) were mixed in a CDCl<sub>3</sub>/CD<sub>3</sub>CN (1:1, v/v) solution and the shifts of the characteristic peaks were noted (Figure 3 and SI, Figures S1, S5, and S6). As shown in Figure 3b, the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of a 1:1 mixture of 5 and 16 showed only one set of signals that were different from those of the separated host and guest, suggesting that a new complex 5.16 was formed and that the complexation between 5 and 16 is a fast exchange process, in analogy to that found for other DB24C8-based complexes.<sup>8,16</sup> The resonances of the aromatic protons H<sup>a</sup> and H<sup>b</sup> of guest 16 were shifted significantly upfield, which is attributed to the strong shielding effect of the aromatic rings of 5. Electrospray ionization (ESI) mass spectra of the complex 5.16 corroborated the 1:1 stoichiometry of the complex (SI, Figure S2). The spectrum exhibited a peak for the fragment ion  $[5.16 - PF_6]^+$  at m/z 1037.3, and that of the sodium adduct ion of the host,  $[5 + Na]^+$ , at m/z 729.2, among many other signals. The stoichiometry of the complex was also determined to be 1:1 by Job Plot (SI, Figure S4), and the association constant  $(K_a)$  for the complexation 5.16 was found to be  $(9.2 \pm 1.8) \times 10^2 \text{ M}^{-1}$  in  $\text{CDCl}_3/\text{CD}_3\text{CN}$  (1:1, v/v) at 22 °C, based on the 1:1 binding model as described by Thordarson (SI, Figure S3).<sup>27,28</sup> This value slightly exceeds the association constant of the analogous triptycene-derived crown ether 1.16 ( $K_a = 4.5 \times 10^2 \text{ M}^{-1}$ ).<sup>8</sup> Thus, TBTQdibenzo-24-crown-8 host 5 proved to be a strong host for the paraquat derivative 16. In contrast to guest 16, the ammonium hexafluorophosphate salts 17 and 18 did not undergo complexation with 5. The  ${}^{1}H$  NMR spectra of mixtures of 5 with 17 or 18 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>/CD<sub>3</sub>CN (1:1, v/v) did not exhibit any chemical shift changes and/or signal doubling (SI, Figures S5-S6). Based on <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopic evidence, it appears that 17 and 18 cannot thread into the cavity of the TBTQ-dibenzo-24-crown-8 host 5. This observation is in stark contrast to earlier reports on DB24C8.<sup>21</sup> Thus, host 5 selectively recognizes guest 16, which may be attributed to spatial obstruction by the concave surface of the TBTQ bowl and to the intramolecular  $\pi - \pi$ interactions between the remote benzene ring of the polyether moiety and the TBTQ skeleton, which should increase the



Figure 2. Molecular and crystal structures of compound 6 (ORTEP diagrams), as obtained with crystals grown from hexane/dichloromethane. (a) View onto the concave surface of the TBTQ bowl; (b) crystallographic cell unit and (c) molecular packing viewed along the b axis. Ellipsoids are shown at 30% probability level; hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Scheme 3. TBTQ-dibenzo-24-crown-8 Host 5 Associates with Guest 16 but not with Secondary Ammonium Ions 17 and 18<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup>2.0 mM each in a solution of CDCl<sub>3</sub>/CD<sub>3</sub>CN (1:1, v/v).



Figure 3. Partial <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra [600 MHz, 22 °C,  $CDCl_3/CD_3CN$  (1:1, v/v)] of (a) guest 16 (2.0 mM), (b) a mixture of host 5, and 1.0 equiv of 16 ([5]<sub>0</sub> = 2.0 mM), and (c) host 5 (2.0 mM).

tortuosity of the macrocyclic polyether unit and thus shrink the size of the cavity.

Complexation between host 6 and the guests 16, 17, and 18 were also studied by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy (Scheme 4). Equimolar amounts of compounds 6 and 18 were dissolved in the solvent mixture of  $CDCl_3/CD_3COCD_3$  (1:1, v/v). The

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (Figure 4) showed two sets of peaks that belonged to the complexed and to the uncomplexed species, respectively, suggesting the formation of a new complex,  $6\cdot18$ . In this case, in contrast to the complex  $5\cdot16$ , the association between 6 and 18 is a slow-exchange process on the <sup>1</sup>H NMR Scheme 4. TBTQ-benzo-21-crown-7 Host 6 Associates with the Secondary Ammonium Salt 18, but not with Guests 16 or  $17^{a}$ 



<sup>a</sup>2.0 mM each in a solution of CDCl<sub>3</sub>/CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub> (1:1, v/v).



Figure 4. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra [400 MHz, 22 °C,  $CDCl_3/CD_3COCD_3$  (1:1, v/v)] of (a) guest 18 (2.0 mM), (b) a mixture of host 6 and 1.0 equiv of 18 ([6]<sub>0</sub> = 2.0 mM), and (c) host 6 (2.0 mM).

time scale, similar to the behavior found for other B21C7based complexes.<sup>17</sup> This implies a threading of **18** through the cavity of **6** to form a [2]pseudorotaxane. By integration of the corresponding peaks, the stoichiometry of complexation system was determined to be 1:1 (SI, Figure S7). The association constant for the complexation of **6**·1**8** was determined to be  $K_a = (5.0 \pm 1.4) \times 10^2$  M<sup>-1</sup> in CDCl<sub>3</sub>/ CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub> (1:1, v/v) at 22 °C (SI, Figure S7). ESI mass spectrometry of a solution of equimolar amounts of **6** and **18** again corroborates the 1:1 stoichiometry (SI, Figure S8). A mass fragment of [**6**·1**8** – PF<sub>6</sub>]<sup>+</sup> (*m*/*z* 744.4) was found, together with the adduct ion [**6** + Na]<sup>+</sup> (*m*/*z* 637.3). In contrast to these results, the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of mixtures of **6** with the paraquat **16** or the dibenzylammonium salt **17** in a solution of  $\text{CDCl}_3/\text{CD}_3\text{CN}$  (1:1, v/v) or  $\text{CD}_3\text{COCD}_3$  showed neither chemical shift changes nor signal doubling (SI, Figures S9–S11). These findings parallel the previous study on B21C7, in which the lack of complexation was ascribed to the insufficient size of the cavity of B21C7 to accommodate the aromatic rings of the hosts.<sup>17</sup>

#### 

In summary, two new TBTQ-based crown ether hosts, the TBTQ-dibenzo-24-crown-8 5 and the TBTQ-benzo-21-crown-

## The Journal of Organic Chemistry

7 6, were synthesized based on the TBTQ-catechol 13, for which two alternative synthesis approaches were developed. Furthermore, we proved that the new TBTQ hosts can undergo selective complexation with ammonium salts: The paraquat derivative 16 binds to the cavity of host 5, whereas dibutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (18) can thread into the cavity of host 6. In both cases, stable 1:1 complexes were formed in solution. The formation of these complexes was supported by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy and ESI mass spectrometry. The association constants ( $K_a$ ) of the complexes 5·16 and 6·18 were also determined. The development of further supramolecular systems that combine the convex-concave topography of the TBTQ bowl with other molecular host systems and the investigation of their properties is underway in our laboratories.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Information. All reactions that required anhydrous conditions were carried out by standard procedures under argon atmosphere. The solvents were dried by distillation over appropriate drying reagents. Petroleum ether used had a boiling range of 60-90 °C. Reactions were monitored by TLC on silica gel GF 254 plates. Column chromatography was performed through silica gel (200-300 mesh). <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on either a 300, 400, or 600 MHz spectrometer, as were the DEPT 135 experiments. Chemical shift values are given in ppm and coupling constants (J) in Hz. Residual solvent signals in the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were used as an internal reference (CDCl<sub>3</sub>:  $\delta_{\rm H}$  = 7.26,  $\delta_{\rm C}$  = 77.0 ppm,  $[D_6]$ acetone:  $\delta_H = 2.05$  ppm,  $CD_3CN$ :  $\delta_H = 1.94$  ppm). Multiplicities are indicated as follows: s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), m (multiplet). Melting points were determined by use of a microscope apparatus and are uncorrected. Mass spectra were obtained using electrospray ionization (ESI) on a 4G or a microTOF mass spectrometer. Single crystal X-ray diffraction measurements were performed with a diffractometer working with graphite monochromated Mo K $\alpha$  or Cu K $\alpha$  radiation. All reagents and solvents were used as supplied. Compounds 7a,<sup>24</sup> 8a,<sup>25</sup> 8b,<sup>24</sup> 14,<sup>8</sup> 15,<sup>17</sup> 16,<sup>8</sup> 17,<sup>21</sup> and 18<sup>17,21</sup> were synthesized as previously reported.



2-[(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)phenylmethyl]-2-methyl-1H-indene-1,3(2H)-dione (9a). A mixture of benzhydrol 7a (7.68 g, 31.48 mmol), dione 8a (4.99 g, 31.19 mmol), and para-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (401 mg, 2.11 mmol) in toluene (50 mL) was heated to reflux for 24 h in a flask equipped with a Dean-Stark trap. The mixture was cooled to room temperature, washed with saturated aqueous  $K_2CO_3$  (15 mL), and extracted with dichloromethane (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over Na2SO4, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash column chromatography of the residue through silica gel (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 8:1,  $R_f = 0.4$ ) afforded dione 9a as a yellowish solid (8.70 g, 72%): mp 84-86 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 25 °C)  $\delta$  7.80–7.78 (m, 2H), 7.69–7.64 (m, 2H), 7.46 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{(H,H)} = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 7.18–7.12 (m, 3H), 7.07 (t,  ${}^{3}J_{(H,H)} = 7.2$  Hz, 1H), 6.94 (dd,  ${}^{4}J_{(H,H)} = 8.0$ , 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.65 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{(H,H)} = 8.4$  Hz, 1H), 4.54 (s, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 1.30 (s, 3H);  ${}^{13}C$  NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 25 °C): δ 204.3 (C), 204.2 (C), 148.3 (C), 147.5 (C), 141.32 (C), 141.28 (C), 139.9 (C), 135.44 (CH), 135.43 (CH), 132.3 (C), 129.4 (CH), 128.2 (CH), 126.6 (CH), 122.9 (CH),

121.9 (CH), 112.8 (CH), 110.7 (CH), 58.2 (C), 57.3 (CH), 55.6 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 55.5 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 19.8 (CH<sub>3</sub>), one Ar–CH resonance was not resolved; accurate mass (ESI-ToF) m/z: [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Na 409.1410; found: 409.1421.



2-Benzhydryl-5,6-dimethoxy-2-methyl-1H-indene-1,3(2H)-dione (**9b**). The preparation procedure was the same as that used for compound **9a**. Starting from benzhydrol (7b, 4.21 g, 22.88 mmol), dione **8b** (4.99 g, 22.68 mmol), and *para*-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (401 mg, 2.11 mmol) dione **9b** was obtained as a sa colorless solid (6.40 g, 73%): TLC: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 8:1  $R_{\rm f}$  = 0.5; mp 86–88 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 25 °C)  $\delta$  7.47 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>(H,H)</sub> = 7.2 Hz, 4H), 7.19–7.15 (m, 6H), 7.09 (t, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>(H,H)</sub> = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 4.56 (s, 1H), 3.96 (s, 6H), 1.28 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 25 °C)  $\delta$  202.9 (C), 155.8 (C), 140.0 (C), 136.4 (C), 129.7 (CH), 128.2 (CH), 126.6 (CH), 103.0 (CH), 57.7 (CH), 56.5 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 20.2 (CH<sub>3</sub>), one aliphatic C resonance was not resolved; accurate mass (ESI-ToF): *m*/*z*: [M+H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>23</sub>O<sub>4</sub> 387.1591; found 387.1600.



2-[(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)phenylmethyl]-2-methyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-1,3-diol (10a, Mixture of Two Diastereomers). A solution of diisobutylaluminum hydride (65 mL, 1 M in cyclohexane, 65 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of dione 9a (10.00 g, 25.91 mmol) in dichloromethane (175 mL) at 0 °C under argon atmosphere. The mixture was stirred at 20 °C for another 24 h. The reaction was carefully quenched with water (100 mL). The precipitated solid was collected by suction filtration and washed repeatedly with dichloromethane. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over Na2SO4 and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash column chromatography of the residue through silica gel (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 1:1,  $R_f = 0.4$ ) afforded a mixture of diastereomeric diols 10a as a colorless oil (8.08 g, 80%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 25 °C)  $\delta$  7.63 (d, <sup>3</sup> $J_{(H,H)}$  = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (dd,  ${}^{3}J_{(H,H)} = 8.0$ , 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.44–7.42 (m, 3H), 7.35-7.06 (m, 6H), 6.90-6.83 (m, 1H), 5.46 and 5.16 (two s, total 1H), 4.82, 4.73, and 4.55 (three d,  ${}^{3}J_{(H,H)} = 7.6$  Hz,  ${}^{3}J_{(H,H)} = 15.2$  Hz,  ${}^{3}J_{(H,H)} = 15.6$  Hz, 2H), 3.88, 3.87, 3.863, and 3.859 (four s, total 6H), 1.88 (br, 1H), 1.42 (s, 1H), 1.09, 1.08, and 0.84 (three s, total 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 25 °C):  $\delta$  148.9, 148.1, 147.6, 144.8, 144.6, 144.24, 144.16, 142.6, 142.2, 142.0, 141.1, 141.0, 135.0, 134.5, 134.3, 129.9, 129.8, 129.5, 129.1, 128.5, 128.4, 128.1, 126.4, 126.2, 125.1, 125.0, 124.6, 121.83, 121.75, 121.4, 113.7, 111.4, 111.1, 82.0, 81.7, 81.0, 80.9, 55.9, 55.8, 54.8, 54.5, 53.5, 48.4, 26.9, 20.5, 15.3, 15.2; accurate mass (ESI-ToF) m/z: [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C25H26O4Na+ 413.1723; found 413.1733.

all-cis-2-Benzhydryl-5,6-dimethoxy-2-methyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-1,3-diol (10b). The preparation procedure was the same as that used for compound 10a. Starting from dione 9b (10.00 g, 25.91 mmol) and diisobutylaluminum hydride (65 mL, 1.0 M in cyclohexane, 65 mmol) the product 10b was obtained as a colorless



solid (8.08 g, 80%): TLC: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 1:1,  $R_f = 0.5$ ; mp 204–206 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 25 °C)  $\delta$  7.53 (d, <sup>3</sup> $J_{(H,H)} = 7.6$  Hz, 4H), 7.33 (t, <sup>3</sup> $J_{(H,H)} = 7.6$  Hz, 4H), 7.23 (t, <sup>3</sup> $J_{(H,H)} = 7.6$  Hz, 2H), 6.93 (s, 2H), 5.15 (s, 1H), 4.47 (d, <sup>3</sup> $J_{(H,H)} = 4.8$  Hz, 2H), 3.85 (s, 6H), 2.35 (d, <sup>3</sup> $J_{(H,H)} = 4.8$  Hz, 2H), 0.87 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 25 °C):  $\delta$  149.9 (C), 141.9 (C), 136.7 (C), 129.9 (CH), 128.4 (CH), 126.3 (CH), 108.5 (CH), 81.9 (CH), 55.9 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 54.1 (C), 48.9 (CH), 20.8 (CH<sub>3</sub>); accurate mass (ESI-ToF) m/z: [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Na 413.1723; found: 413.1732.



2,3-Dimethoxy-12d-methyl-4b,8b,12b,12d-tetrahydrodibenzo-[2,3:4,5]pentaleno[1,6-ab]indene (11). A solution of the mixture of diols 10a (15.00 g, 38.46 mmol) or pure 10b (15.00 g, 38.46 mmol) prepared by gentle warming in chlorobenzene (200 mL) was added dropwise into a stirred solution of phosphoric acid (85%, 4 mL) in chlorobenzene (40 mL). After the addition was completed, the mixture was heated to reflux for 20 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to 25 °C and poured into water (40 mL). The organic layer was then washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO3 and extracted with ethyl acetate (3  $\times$  20 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over Na2SO4, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash column chromatography of the residue through silica gel (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 10:1,  $R_f = 0.5$ ) afforded the product 11 as a colorless amorphous solid (6.40 g, 47% from 10a, 6.80 g, 50% from 10b): mp 147-148 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 25 °C) δ 7.43-7.37 (m, 4H), 7.19-7.12 (m, 4H), 6.89 (s, 2H), 4.42 (s, 1H), 4.37 (s, 2H), 3.84 (s, 6H), 1.64 (s, 3H);  $^{13}\mathrm{C}$  NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 25 °C)  $\delta$  149.2 (C), 145.5 (C), 145.3 (C), 136.9 (C), 127.3 (CH), 124.4 (CH), 124.1 (CH), 107.2 (CH), 63.4 (CH), 63.3 (CH), 61.5 (C), 56.0 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 27.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>); one Ar-CH resonance was not resolved; accurate mass (ESI-ToF) m/z: [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Na 377.1512; found: 377.1520.



2,3-Dimethoxy-4b,8b,12b,12d-tetramethyl-4b,8b,12b,12d-tetrahydrodibenzo[2,3:4,5]pentaleno[1,6-ab]indene (12). A mixture of compound 11 (0.50 g, 1.41 mmol), N-bromosuccinimide (NBS, 1.00 g, 5.60 mmol) and benzoylperoxide (30 mg) was heated to reflux in CCl<sub>4</sub> (30 mL) under the irradiation of an infrared lamp (245 W) for 5 h. The precipitate formed was removed by suction filtration. The solution was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was redissolved in anhydrous benzene (50 mL). Then, a

solution of trimethylaluminum (2.80 mL, 2.0 M in toluene, 5.60 mmol) was added dropwise under argon. After the addition was complete, the mixture was heated to 50 °C for 8 h. The reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl and then extracted with dichloromethane (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash column chromatography of the residue through silica gel (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 10:1,  $R_f = 0.3$ ) afforded the product **12** as a colorless solid (257 mg, total yield from **11** to **12** was 46%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 25 °C)  $\delta$  7.39–7.37 (m, 2H), 7.33–7.31 (m, 2H), 7.17–7.15 (m, 4H), 6.82 (s, 2H), 3.86 (s, 6H), 1.66 (s, 3H), 1.64 (s, 6H), 1.35 (s, 3H). MS (ESI-TOF) *m/z*: [M+NH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>32</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> 414.2; found: 414.2. The spectroscopy data were identical with those in the literature.<sup>22</sup>



2,3-Dihydroxy-4b,8b,12b,12d-tetramethyl-4b,8b,12b,12dtetrahydrodibenzo[2,3:4,5]pentaleno[1,6-ab]indene (13). Boron tribromide (5.90 mL, 63.70 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of 12 (5.00 g, 12.63 mmol) in dichloromethane (45 mL) at 0 °C under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred for 10 h until the starting material was no longer detectable by TLC. The mixture was quenched with water (5 mL) and then extracted with dichloromethane  $(3 \times 30 \text{ mL})$ . The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over Na2SO4, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash column chromatography of the residue through silica gel (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 5:1,  $R_f = 0.2$ ) afforded 13 as a colorless amorphous solid (3.80 g, 82%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 25 °C)  $\delta$  7.37–7.35 (m, 2H), 7.27–7.23 (m, 2H), 7.15–7.13 (m, 4H), 6.77 (s, 2H), 4.98 (s, 2H), 1.63 (s, 3H), 1.57 (s, 6H), 1.31 (s, 3H); accurate mass (ESI-ToF) m/z [M+H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>25</sub>O<sub>2</sub> 369.1849, found 369.1860. The data were identical with those in the literature.



4b,8b,34b,34d-Tetramethyl-4b,8b,11,12,14,15,17,18,25,26,28,29,-31,32,34b,34d-hexadecahydrobenzo[b]dibenzo[2',3':4',5']pentaleno[1',6':1,2,3]indeno[5,6-n][1,4,7,10,13,16,19,22]octaoxacyclotetracosine (5). A suspension of cesium carbonate (195 mg, 600  $\mu$ mol) in anhydrous DMF (30 mL) was stirred vigorously for 10 min and then heated to 100 °C under argon atmosphere. A solution of catechol 13 (50 mg, 136  $\mu$ mol) and bistosylate 14 (93 mg, 136  $\mu$ mol) in anhydrous DMF (20 mL) was added dropwise over 12 h to the mixture. The reaction mixture was stirred at 100 °C for another 3 d. After cooling down to 25 °C, the mixture was filtered and washed with dichloromethane (3 × 30 mL). The combined organic layers were washed several times with water and then washed

## The Journal of Organic Chemistry

with brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash column chromatography of the residue through silica gel (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>3</sub>OH 100:1,  $R_f = 0.3$ ) afforded the product crown ether **5** as a colorless oil (34 mg, 35%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 25 °C)  $\delta$  7.37–7.36 (m, 2H), 7.36–7.28 (m, 2H), 7.15–7.13 (m, 4H), 6.90–6.83 (m, 6H), 4.11–4.10 (m, 8H), 3.90–3.55 (m, 16H), 1.64 (s, 3H), 1.60 (s, 6H), 1.33 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 25 °C)  $\delta$  149.1 (C), 148.9 (C), 145.5 (C), 145.3 (C), 137.9 (C), 127.3 (CH), 124.4 (CH), 124.2 (CH), 121.3 (CH), 114.1 (CH), 110.2 (CH), 70.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 70.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 69.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 69.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 69.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 69.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 62.5 (C), 62.4 (C), 26.0 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 25.7 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 16.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>), one Ar–CH and one aliphatic C resonances were not resolved; accurate mass (ESI-ToF) *m/z*: [M +Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>44</sub>H<sub>50</sub>O<sub>8</sub>Na 729.3398; found 729.3400.



4b,8b,29b,29d-Tetramethyl-4b,8b,11,12,14,15,17,18,20,21,23,24,-26,27,29b,29d-hexadecahydrodibenzo[2',3':4',5']pentaleno-[1',6':1,2,3]indeno[5,6-b][1,4,7,10,13,16,19]heptaoxacyclohenicosine (6). A mixture of catechol 13 (50 mg, 136  $\mu$ mol), potassium carbonate (58 mg, 420  $\mu$ mol), potassium hexafluorophosphate (51 mg, 277  $\mu$ mol), and bistosylate 15 (80 mg, 136  $\mu$ mol) in acetonitrile (50 mL) was stirred and heated to reflux under argon atmosphere for 3 d. After cooling down to 25 °C, the mixture was filtered and washed with dichloromethane (40 mL). The filtrate was washed several times with water and then with brine, dried over Na2SO4, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash column chromatography of the residue through silica gel (petroleum ether/ ethyl acetate 1:1,  $R_f = 0.2$ ) afforded crown ether 6 (35 mg, 42%) as a colorless solid: mp 320-322 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 25 °C)  $\delta$  7.37–7.35 (m, 2H), 7.30–7.28 (m, 2H), 7.16–7.14 (m, 4H), 6.85 (s, 2H), 4.18-4.08 (m, 4H), 3.88-3.86 (m, 4H), 3.76-3.74 (m, 4H), 3.68-3.65 (m, 4H), 3.60 (s, 8H), 1.65 (s, 3H), 1.61 (s, 6H), 1.34 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 25 °C)  $\delta$  149.3 (C), 148.9 (C), 148.8 (C), 141.4 (C), 127.5 (CH), 122.9 (CH), 122.7 (CH), 109.2 (CH), 71.03 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 71.01 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 70.98 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 70.55 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 70.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 69.9 (C), 69.8 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 62.54 (C), 62.45 (C), 26.0 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 25.7 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 16.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>), one Ar-CH resonance was not resolved; accurate mass (ESI-ToF) m/z: [M+NH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C38H50O7N 632.3582; found: 632.3587.

#### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **S** Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.6b02326.

- <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of all new compounds: **9a**, **9b**, **10a**, **10b**, **11**, **5**, and **6**; <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopic titrations and ESI mass spectrum of complex **5**·**16**; and <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum and ESI mass spectrum of complex **6**·**18** (PDF)
- X-ray crystallographic data for 6 (CIF)
- X-ray crystallographic data for 10b (CIF)
- X-ray crystallographic data for 11 (CIF)

## AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Authors**

\*E-mail: caoxplzu@163.com

\*E-mail: hfchow@cuhk.edu.hk

\*E-mail: dietmar.kuck@uni-bielefeld.de

#### ORCID <sup>0</sup>

Xiao-Ping Cao: 0000-0001-8340-1122

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 21572085, 21272098, and 21190034), the Program for Changjiang Scholars and Innovative Research Team in University (PCSIRT: IRT\_15R28), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central University (FRFCU: lzujbky-2016-ct02), and the 111 Project for financial support, and the UGC of HKSAR (project no: AoE/P-03/08) for the financial support. We gratefully acknowledge Yong-Liang Shao in the Lanzhou University for conducting X-ray crystallographic analyses and Qiuli Qi for binding curve fitting.

## REFERENCES

(1) Pochorovski, I.; Diederich, F. Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 2096–2105.

(2) Ajami, D.; Rebek, J. Acc. Chem. Res. 2013, 46, 990-999.

(3) Frischmann, P. D.; MacLachlan, M. J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 871–890.

- (4) Laughrey, Z.; Gibb, B. C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 363-386.
- (5) Pirondini, L.; Dalcanale, E. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2007, 36, 695-706.

(6) Lehn, J.-M. Supramolecular Chemistry; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 1995.

(7) Langton, M. J.; Serpell, C. J.; Beer, P. D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 1974–1987.

(8) Cao, J.; Lu, H.-Y.; You, X.-J.; Zheng, Q.-Y.; Chen, C.-F. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 4446-4449.

(9) Ghidini, E.; Ugozzoli, F.; Ungaro, R.; Harkema, S.; Abu El-Fadl, A.; Reinhoudt, D. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1990**, 112, 6979-6985.

- (10) Hu, W.-B.; Hu, W.-J.; Liu, Y. A.; Li, J.-S.; Jiang, B.; Wen, K. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 2940–2943.
- (11) Kuck, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1984, 23, 508-509.

(12) Kuck, D. Chem. Rev. 2006, 106, 4885-4925.

(13) Wang, T.; Li, Z.-Y.; Xie, A.-L.; Yao, X.-J.; Cao, X.-P.; Kuck, D. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 3231–3238.

(14) Brandenburg, J. G.; Grimme, S.; Jones, P. G.; Markopoulos, G.; Hopf, H.; Cyranski, M. K.; Kuck, D. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 9930–9938.

(15) Bredenkötter, B.; Grzywa, M.; Alaghemandi, M.; Schmid, R.; Herrebout, W.; Bultinck, P.; Volkmer, D. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2014**, *20*, 9100–9110.

(16) Han, Y.; Meng, Z.; Ma, Y.-X.; Chen, C.-F. Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 2026–2040.

(17) Zhang, C.; Li, S.; Zhang, J.; Zhu, K.; Li, N.; Huang, F. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 5553–5556.

(18) Zhao, J.-M.; Zong, Q.-S.; Han, T.; Xiang, J.-F.; Chen, C.-F. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 6800–6806.

(19) Dong, S.; Zheng, B.; Wang, F.; Huang, F. Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 1982–1994.

(20) Ashton, P. R.; Fyfe, M. C. T.; Glink, P. T.; Menzer, S.; Stoddart, J. F.; White, A. J. P.; Williams, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 12514–12524.

(21) Ashton, P. R.; Campbell, P. J.; Chrystal, E. J. T.; Glink, P. T.; Menzer, S.; Philp, D.; Spencer, N.; Stoddart, J. F.; Tasker, P. A.; Williams, D. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. **1995**, *34*, 1865–1869.

# The Journal of Organic Chemistry

(22) Zhang, Y.-F.; Tian, W.-F.; Cao, X.-P.; Kuck, D.; Chow, H.-F. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 2308–2319.

- (23) Harig, M.; Neumann, B.; Stammler, H.-G.; Kuck, D. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 2004, 2381–2397.
- (24) Vile, J.; Carta, M.; Bezzu, C. G.; McKeown, N. B. Polym. Chem. 2011, 2, 2257-2260.
- (25) Mosher, W. A.; Soeder, R. W. J. Org. Chem. 1971, 36, 1561–1563.

(26) For a recent modification of the method, see: Beaudoin, D.; Rominger, F.; Mastalerz, M. *Synthesis* **2015**, *47*, 3846–3848.

(27) Howe, E. N. W.; Bhadbhade, M.; Thordarson, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 7505-7516.

(28) Fitting of the binding data was performed using the bindfit program available from the Internet website http://supramolecular. org.